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ABSTRACT

Facility layout design involves a systematic physical arrangement of different departments, work stations, machines, equipments, storage areas and common areas in a manufacturing industry. In today's competitive global environment, the optimum facility layout has become an effective tool in cost reduction by enhancing the productivity. It has become very essential to have a well organised plant layout for all available resources in an optimum manner to achieve the maximum returns from the capacity of facilities. To achieve the optimisation objectives a lot of techniques are developed by many researchers in the domain area. The objective of this paper is to review the contributions in the field not only for plant layout but re-layout also.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A facility layout is an integration of the physical arrangement of departments, workstations, machines, equipments, materials, common areas etc, within an existing or proposed industry. Most plant layouts are designed properly for the initial conditions of the business. However these layouts provide many bottlenecks during growth period. Hence as long as capacity grows, it has to adapt the internal and external changes for which a re-layout is necessary. The reasons for a re-layout are due to changes in production volume, changes in process and technology and changes in the product.

The frequency of re-layout depends upon requirement of the present situation. Hence facility layout design is a continuous iterative process based upon the changing
constraints of dynamic environment. So optimization of facility layout is situation based requirement of the industry. The symptoms that allow the need for a re-layout are congestion and bad utilization of space, excess stock in process at the facility, high material handling distances, bottleneck at workstations, idle time of facilities and workers, labour anxiety and discomfort, accidents and difficulty in controlling operations and personnel.

2. TOOLS FOR OPTIMIZING LAYOUT DESIGN: HEURISTIC METHODS
The most well known heuristic methods in optimizing layout design are Tabu Search (TS), Simulated Annealing (SA), and Genetic Algorithms (GA). The popularity of these heuristics has flourished in recent years and several published studies can be found in the literature. Arostegui, et al [5] classify heuristics methods into tailored and general. While tailored heuristics have a limited applicability to a specific problem while general algorithms define a strategy for obtaining approximate solutions and thus are widely applicable to various forms of combinatorial optimization problems.

Tabu Search (TS) is a mathematical optimization method, belonging to the class of local search techniques [5]. Tabu search enhances the performance of a local search method by using memory structures. Once a potential solution has been determined, it is marked as taboo, so that the algorithm does not visit that possibility repeatedly.

A genetic algorithm (GA) is a search technique used in computing to find exact or approximate solutions to optimization and search problems [5]. Genetic algorithms are categorized as global search heuristics. Genetic algorithms are a particular class of evolutionary algorithms (EA) that use techniques inspired by evolutionary biology such as inheritance, mutation, selection, and crossover [7]. Simulated annealing (SA) is a generic probabilistic metaheuristic for the global optimization problem of applied mathematics, namely locating a good approximation to the global minimum of a given function in a large search space [5]. It is often used when the search space is discrete. For certain problems, simulated annealing may be more effective than exhaustive enumeration, provided that the goal is merely to find an acceptably good solution in a fixed amount of time, rather than the best possible solution [7].

3. SIMULATION TECHNIQUE
Simulation technique is also recommended in the facility planning analysis. Nica, et al [25] reported that queuing phenomena of parts before entering assembly area is in uncertainty arrival time. Simulation is an appropriate tool to help the designer to define the storage spaces of assembly system in this stochastic situation. In this research, a concept of ordered parts buffer is proposed for sequencing parts arrived in the assembly process by robot systems. Simulation based on experimental design is developed by Ekren and Ornek [9] for typical manufacturing job-shop system. They investigated the effects of layout types and their interactions with other manufacturing parameters that can affect performance of the system.

A simulation model has been developed as an analysis tool for line reconfiguration to accommodate the future demand fluctuation. Line balancing becomes the main issue in the research and fuzzy knowledge base of technique was proposed to help define more realistic scenarios [30]. The necessity of sequence coordination within a complex assembly system is reported. This is due to the fact
that the complex assembly system generally includes preassembly section for part preparation and this can only be determined by computer aided simulation.

Simulation tools that commonly used in facility planning are Arena [9], QUEST [24], IGRIP [24], Pro Model [35] and Witness [31]. Another tool that can be used in layout simulation is Flexsim software. Flexsim is discrete event simulation software, used for evaluating, planning or designing manufacturing, warehousing, logistics and other operational and strategic situations [11]. The limitation of many software is they only provide the two dimensional visualization (2D), which is not easy to visualise, understand and evaluate. Flexsim provides the three dimensional (3D) visualization. Flexsim software helps researchers to test multiple alternatives in a short time without any risk, disruption and expenses.

4. A SUMMARY OF WORK DONE BY RESEARCHES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL.No</th>
<th>Title and Author</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Layout design for Low capacity manufacturing Line - A case study Flippo De Carlo et.al[10]</td>
<td>Empirical</td>
<td>In the present work, the results of a fashion manufacturing line re- layout were compared by analysing the current situation with the solutions provided by a homemade company design, both through a systematic layout planning approach and a lean reengineering activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>A Heuristic procedure for the integrated facility layout design and flow assignment problem Ali Taghavi et.al[11]</td>
<td>Proposed a novel integrated heuristic procedure based on a perturbation algorithm and sequential location heuristic.</td>
<td>Performed the experimental study to assess the performance of the proposed procedure. The experimental results demonstrate that proposed heuristic procedure is both efficient and effective in identifying quality solutions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>A genetic algorithm with the heuristic procedure to solve the multi-line layout problem Amir Sadrzadeh[12]</td>
<td>The paper presents a Genetic Algorithm-based meta heuristic to solve FLP</td>
<td>The efficiency of the proposed method has been proved through solving examples and comparing results with other genetic algorithm, CRAFT algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Using Simulation for Facility Design: A Case Study (Greasley, 2008)[13]</td>
<td>A discrete event simulation model was developed and used to estimate the storage area required for a proposed overseas textile manufacturing facility.</td>
<td>It was found that the simulation was able to achieve this because of its ability to both store attribute values and to show queuing levels at an individual product level. It was also found that the process of undertaking the simulation project initiated useful discussions regarding the operation of the facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>05</strong></td>
<td>A methodology for solving the unequal area facility layout problem using distance and shape based measures R. Logendran et.al(^{26})</td>
<td>A mixed binary nonlinear programming model is formulated</td>
<td>In addition to the distance measure, the impact of geometry or the shape of the departments is quantified in the formulation of the model. A higher level heuristic solution algorithm, based on a concept of &quot;Tabu search&quot; is proposed and the results are compared with the published alternatives in the problem area and has demonstrated the effectiveness of the proposed method.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>06</strong></td>
<td>An alternative multiple attribute decision making methodology for solving optimal facility layout design selection problems K.D.Maniya et.al (^{20})</td>
<td>The proposed methodology is based on Preference selection index (PSI)</td>
<td>In the proposed methodology appropriate facility design is selected for a given application. Two different types of facility layout design selection problems are examined to demonstrate, validate and to check the reliability of proposed methodology. It is concluded that FLP design selection methodology based on PSI method is simple, logical and more appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>07</strong></td>
<td>An Empirical Comparison of Tabu Search, Simulated Annealing, and Genetic Algorithms for Facilities Location Problems. (Arostegui et al, 2006)(^{5})</td>
<td>Compare the relative performance of Tabu Search (TS), Simulated Annealing (SA), and Genetic Algorithms (GA) on various Facilities Location Problems (FLP).</td>
<td>The results indicate that TS shows very good performance in most cases. The performance of SA and GA are more partial to problem type and the criterion used. Thus, in general it may conclude that TS should be tried first to the extent that it always yields as good or better results and is easy to develop and implement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **08** | Genetic Algorithms for Integrating Cell Formation with Machine Layout and Scheduling. (Xiaodan et al, 2007)\(^{34}\) | Proposed a new approach to concurrently make the cell formation (CF), group layout (GL) and group scheduling (GS) decisions for a successful Cellular manufacturing (CM). A conceptual framework and mathematical model, which integrates these decisions, are proposed | The result of this study indicate that:
1. The concurrent approach often found better solutions than the sequential one.
2. With the proposed heuristic operators, the hierarchical genetic algorithm (HGA) procedure performed better than without them. A hierarchical genetic algorithm (HGA) is developed to solve the integrated cell design problem. Two heuristic operators are proposed to enhance its computational performance. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Abstract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>A Study on Facility Planning in Manufacturing Process Using Witness.</td>
<td>Comparing two alternative design of layout: U-shape and S-shape. The study is conducted in Dinamika Pelumas Sdn. Bhd. Simulation tool using Witness software. This paper found that U-shape flow pattern design has increased the efficiency utilization of labour, equipment, space and reduces idle time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Metaheuristic methods for a class of the facility Layout problem.</td>
<td>Comparison of simulated annealing (SA) and Tabu Search (TS). Both methods produced the known optimal solution for a facility layout problem set with high frequency and low computation time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Solving the failure-to-fit problem for plant layout by changing department shapes and sizes.</td>
<td>Apply Artificial intelligence (AI) to FLP. Artificial intelligence could solve the scenario of failure-to-fit solutions, when no feasible layouts are generated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Simulation Model for Production Line Layout.</td>
<td>Existing layout is evaluated by Using Pro model software by Integrating Process layout, constant period scheduling and Short Processing time. This paper proved that the simulation model is capable to predict the capacity of initial system and test the proposed design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Design and Analysis of a Virtual Factory Layout.</td>
<td>All production machines created in AutoCAD and imported to 3D Studio Max software. Layout problem was visualized and modified by applying Facility Layout Problem (FLP) solving techniques. Virtual factory layout helps in evaluating plant layout before actually building them and assists in avoiding the cost involved in doing physical re-layout. By virtual factory layout, a designer can have feel of the actual setting of the factory, easy to visualize, understand and evaluate. Re-location of the machine can be done such that the material handling cost reduced as well as the bottleneck removed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Layout Design in Group Technology Manufacturing</td>
<td>Literature review. A review and consolidation of the emerging literature in the GT layout and a suggestion framework of analysis for developing the GT layout.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Advances in Discrete Material Handling System Design.</td>
<td>Literature review. This paper presents an outline of trends seen in layout and material handling flow path design research. The current trends are to integrate the different aspects of the manufacturing system design problem. Also present an idea for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>A Classification of Different Type of Facility Layout Design. (Taha et al, 2008)</td>
<td>Literature review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Multiple objective facility layout: a heuristic to generate efficient alternatives. (Malakooti, 1989)</td>
<td>Using Heuristic and metaheuristic methods to solve FLP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>FACOPT : A user friendly Facility Layout optimization System. (Balakrishnan et al, 2003)</td>
<td>Comparison of genetic algorithm (GA) and simulated annealing (SA).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**5. DISCUSSION**

Based on the literature survey as above, it is revealed that there are several studies investigated the effective design of facility planning in a production line of manufacturing process. However, there are some difficulties and limitations in finding the optimum layout configuration with general heuristic methods such as Tabu Search (TS), Simulated Annealing (SA), and Genetic Algorithms (GA). The limitations are such as time consuming and cannot get the feel of the actual setting and actual dimension of the machine and equipment in the facility design. Simulation technique is a powerful tool to assess and evaluates the possible configuration in layout optimization. According to Mc Lean and Kibira [24], simulation could be the best aid in decision making during design, analyse and improvement of manufacturing systems and computer simulation also shows great promise for raising productivity, improving product quality, shortening lead time and reducing cost in the future. Some researchers used Arena, Witness, and Pro model, which are (2D) simulation software. However, 2D view layout could not provide actual setting and actual dimension of the machine and equipment. In recent years, the development of discrete event simulation softwares grows rapidly. One of them is Flexsim software. It provides three dimensional (3D) view of the layout. This software allows designer to build virtual reality (VR) environment and can have feel of the actual setting of the factory. Potential problems such as safety issues, aisle and other layout problems can be visualized and modified by applying plant layout problem solving techniques. In the future research of some literatures, there are some new approaches of facility design analysis. One of them is combining the basic heuristic methods with 3D simulation technique in evaluating the existing layout arrangement. The objective is to create more comprehensive analysis to find the optimum layout arrangement.
6. CONCLUSION
In order to achieve maximum returns from the capacity of facilities, it is very essential to optimize plant layout for proposed units or re-layout of existing manufacturing units as per the changing market scenario. Many researchers have developed lot of models based on heuristic and meta-heuristic approach by considering different case studies. Heuristic methods such as Tabu Search (TS), Simulated Annealing (SA), and Genetic Algorithms (GA) are common tools in optimization. Limitations of those heuristic methods are time consuming and cannot get the feel of the actual setting and actual dimension of the machine and equipment. Beside the heuristic methods, simulation technique is a powerful tool used by many researchers in creating and evaluating the proposed layout design before implementation. Simulation tools that commonly used in facility planning are Arena [12], QUEST [27], IGRIP [24, ProModel [35] and Witness [31].

Looking towards the limitations of the methods discussed so far, it is revealed that the more efficient and comprehensive method can be developed by using combination of heuristic methods and simulation technique. It is also revealed that there is a scope for developing multi-objective optimization techniques particularly for small scale sectors having few departments.
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