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ABSTRACT

Henry Ford once quoted that “coming together is the beginning. Keeping together is progress. Working together is success.” Hence performing activities in a group has a different value of its own and yields a better result.

An attempt has been made to develop a tool kit which where a game is played with two teams with various scenarios pertaining to flow of information and are validated by statistical tests regarding data collections and the behaviour of individuals are validated using the fuzzy logic approach. The developed kit will act as a pedagogy tool which help organisation in developing an effective team / group for meeting its long & short term organisations goal.

Further, the toolkit can have its application in human resource management. It consists of selection, training and evaluating of team members.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The lauda air flight disaster on May, 1991 resulted in huge loss to Boeing (Aeroplane manufacturer) because they outsourced the parts to other manufacturers as a supply chain strategy, because of time constraints they outsourced the parts to third suppliers, as a result there were errors in the parts. This incurred huge loss to the company. The main reason behind this was lack of proper information flow among the suppliers, which resulted in poor communication and coordination. Hence **Team building is an important aspect for an organisation, which involves joint action by a group of people, in which individual interests are subordinated to group unity and efficiency.** It’s a collective term for various types of activities used to enhance social relations and define roles within teams. These often involve collaborative tasks.

The formal definition of team-building includes the following pillars. *(B W Tuckman in work ‘Developmental sequence in small groups’)*

This also represents objective of a team:

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1** Tuckman’s stages of team development (1965, ‘Developmental sequence in small groups’)

- **Goal setting:** Goal setting emphasizes setting objectives and developing individual and team goals. Team members become involved in action planning to identify ways to achieve goals.
- **Role clarification:** Role clarification emphasizes increasing communication among team members regarding their respective roles within the team. Team members improve their understanding of their own and others’ respective roles and duties within the team.
- **Interpersonal-relationship management:** Interpersonal-relationships management emphasizes increasing teamwork skills (i.e. mutual support, communication and sharing of feelings). Team members develop trust in one another and confidence in the team.
- **Problem solving:** Problem solving emphasizes identifying major task-related problems within the team. Team members become involved in action planning, implementing solutions to problems identified, and evaluating those solutions.
2. PROBLEM DEFINITION
A simple game can be useful in improving cooperation, to practice communication, to improve social ability of the member and emotional management.

Hence the need for a game, which is considered as the best way to promote team building and develop various qualities like communication, better understanding among the team members was considered.

The scopes of the project aim at developing other essential qualities like

- To motivate team members
- To promote creativity
- To develop problem solving skills
- To identify team’s strengths and weaknesses
- To help in defining the objectives and goals clearly
- To assign the roles of team members.

3. THE DESIGN OF THE TOOLKIT

The design comprises of a base support, two side-plates supports to clamp the pipe support. The pipe is rested on the pipe support. The side supports are graduated on either side for setting the angles with a plug in key.

The design is considering three parameters of team performance. (Roger Guimera et.al in work ‘team assembly mechanisms determine collaboration network structure and team performance)

- Time
- Resource
- Difficulty
The time factor (‘A’- in figure 3) is measured by inclination of the pipe support. As the angle of inclination increases there is less time in completing the game. The no of holes (‘B’- in figure 3) present on the pipe represents availability of human resource to perform the experiment. One can alter the no of players based on difficulty of project. The combination of colour balls (‘C’- in figure 3) will represent the level of difficulty of the experiment. The level of difficulty is as follows:

- Easy
- Medium
- Hard

4. COMPARISON DESIGNS
The designs given below are taken into consideration in finalizing our design. The supporting mechanism shown in figure 4 is adopted with hinging mechanism in centre rather than at sides.

Similarly, the pin lock mechanism of catapult design is incorporated in our design other than hinging.

Figure 4 Hinged at one end cantilever mechanism
The observations made have to be validated with proper tool. Many tools been selected among which fuzzy logic is selected. The reason for selection of fuzzy logic is as follows.

5. FUZZY LOGIC
The validation of the toolkit is done by fuzzification and defuzzification (three input – one output fuzzy logic)

It is an approach of computing based on "degrees of truth" rather than the usual "true or false" (1 or 0) Boolean logic on which the modern computer is based. (L.A. Zadeh, “Fuzzy Sets,”)

- One of the reasons for the popularity of Fuzzy Logic Controllers is its logical resemblance to a human operator.
- It operates on the foundations of a knowledge base which in turn rely upon the various if then rules, similar to a human operator [4]. Unlike other control strategies, this is simpler as there is no complex mathematical knowledge required.
- The figure below gives an clear picture about how fuzzy logic is being carried out

![Figure 5](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 5** Basic configuration of fuzzy logic system (L.A. Zadeh, “Fuzzy Sets,”)

THREE INPUT–ONE OUTPUT FUZZY LOGIC CONTROL
Among the various shapes of fuzzy number, triangular fuzzy number (TFN) is the most popular one. This is more suitable for non-precise inputs from which a crisp value can be obtained

Definition (Triangular fuzzy number) It is a fuzzy number represented with three points as follows: \( A = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \). This representation is interpreted as membership functions.
The triangular fuzzy logic enables considering 3 variables involved in the design.

\[
\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & x < a_1 \\
\frac{x - a_1}{a_2 - a_1}, & a_1 \leq x \leq a_2 \\
\frac{a_3 - x}{a_3 - a_2}, & a_2 \leq x \leq a_3 \\
0, & x > a_3 
\end{cases} 
\]

From

\[
\frac{a_1^{(a)} - a_1}{a_2 - a_1} = \alpha, \quad \frac{a_3 - a_3^{(a)}}{a_3 - a_2} = \alpha
\]

we get

\[
a_1^{(a)} = (a_2 - a_1)\alpha + a_1
\]

\[
a_3^{(a)} = -(a_3 - a_2)\alpha + a_3
\]

The triangular fuzzy logic enables considering 3 variables involved in the design.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule No</th>
<th>Angle Of Inclination</th>
<th>No Of Members</th>
<th>Degree Of Combination</th>
<th>Time of completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Very short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>Optimum</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>Optimum</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>Optimum</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>Optimum</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>Optimum</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>Optimum</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>Optimum</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>Optimum</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>Optimum</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Very long</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. CONCLUSION
With the use of the tool kit and fuzzy logic, game explains the importance of effective communication / information flow for effective team work / group.

The tool kit / game can be used as a pedagogy in Human Resource Department or In supply chain department for importance of Information flow and conduction of experiments with scenarios.
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